question that is a legitimate question to raise and looks at an issue from a different perspective. My comment relative to whether or not that last sentence in Section 2, on page 21, is necessary, I would have to share with you that this, in fact, was massaged by a county judge who had quite lengthy experience on the bench and it was his suggestion that it be included in this fashion.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Is that right?

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Ha-ha. That's as...that's as rare as a snow in July, Senator,...

SENATOR COORDSEN: (Laughter.)

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...a judge that's ...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well ....

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...talking in favor of a mandatory...mandatory application of a provision.

SENATOR COORDSEN: And it...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, that's good to know, and perhaps we can have some further conversation on that. But, thank you very much.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Any further discussion on the motion to advance? There is none. Senator Coordsen, do you have a closing? It's been waived. The question is the advancement of LB 37. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of LB 37.

SPEAKER WITHEM: LB 37 advances LB 37A.

CLERK: LB 37A offered by Senator Coordsen. (Read title.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Coordsen.